

## THE PSACHNA (EVIA ISLAND) EARTHQUAKE SWARM OF JUNE 2003

Benetatos C.<sup>1</sup>, Kiratzi A.<sup>1</sup>, Kementzetzidou K.<sup>1</sup>, Roumelioti Z.<sup>1</sup>, Karakaisis G.<sup>1</sup>, Scordilis E.<sup>1</sup>, Latoussakis I.<sup>2</sup>, Drakatos G.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Geophysical Laboratory, School of Geology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124, Thessaloniki, , kiratzi@geo.auth.gr, benet@lemnos.geo.auth.gr*

<sup>2</sup> *National Observatory of Athens (NOA), Institute of Geodynamics, P.O. BOX 20048, 11810, Athens*

### ABSTRACT

Evia Island (Greece) lies in a transition zone from strike-slip faulting in the east, due to the strands of the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) that enter to the Aegean Sea, to normal faulting in the west along central Greece. In June 2003 a series of moderate events occurred in central Evia whose source parameters are investigated. These earthquakes caused serious damage to almost 20 residencies mainly in the town of Psachna. The sequence could be identified as an earthquake swarm with earthquake magnitudes in the range of  $3 < M < 4.9$ . We used the P and S arrivals at the stations of the National Seismic Network to relocate the events using the double-difference algorithm. All P and S phase pickings were made by us using the broad band records from the network operated by the Geodynamic Institute of Athens. The relocated epicenters define a pronounced ENE- WSW zone, parallel to the high topography of the area. All depths are shallow from 1 to 8 Km. Regional waveform modeling was applied to determine the focal mechanisms of the larger events and FPFIT for the focal mechanisms of the smaller magnitude events. The majority of the focal mechanisms indicate normal faulting along almost E-W striking planes suggesting that deformation is mainly taken by normal faulting with a minor dextral horizontal motion. Normal faults with a N-S strike have been also observed showing that the E-W extension is present as it is observed in other parts of the Aegean region. Evia Island and its pattern of deformation is very interesting from the seismotectonic point of view. The fact that no large magnitude earthquake has occurred in Evia Island during instrumental times, makes the study of this earthquake swarm important. Previous work (Kiratzi, 2002) has shown that the deformation in northern Evia Island is taken up mainly by strike-slip faulting. Moreover, depending on the orientation of the activated faults in respect to the present state stress field, dextral or sinistral horizontal motion is observed. The Psachna earthquakes showed that an almost N-S extensional field prevails in central Evia Island with a few strike-slip focal mechanisms, suggesting that this part is mostly affected by the normal faulting system of central Greece.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

From the middle of June 2003 until the end of July 2003 moderate magnitude earthquake clustering has been observed near the town of Psachna in central Evia (Greece). Similar clustering has been also observed in July 2001. The 2003 clustering began on 13 June 2003 with an earthquake of magnitude  $M_w$  4.2 that was followed by a series of events with magnitudes up to  $M_w$  4.9. In the town of Psachna more than 90 residencies were severely damaged and became inhabitant and almost 500 more experienced less but extensive damage. The occurrence of earthquakes at that area continued until almost the end of August 2003. In total 13 earthquakes occurred in the area with magnitudes larger than  $M_w$  3.5 and more than 100 with magnitudes between  $2.5 < M_w < 3.5$ . The earthquake activity has the characteristics of an earthquake swarm. Earthquake swarms are defined as a sequence of earthquakes, where the number and magnitude increase with time and then decay after a certain period of time, and are characterized by the absence of a single domi-

nant principal earthquake (Mogi, 1963). Swarms occur in regions having an exceptionally heterogeneous distribution of material properties and stress concentrations, typical of areas of recent volcanism, igneous intrusions, and geothermal activities.

The area of Psachna (Fig. 1) lies close to the north Gulf of the Evia Island which is a graben of almost 100 Km length and is bounded by normal faults. The instrumentally recorded seismicity of the area is moderate (Papadopoulos et al., 2003) and no earthquake with magnitude greater than  $M_w$  5.0 has been recorded. Furthermore even from historical records there is a lack of seismological information about this area (Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003).




Figure 1. Left: Focal mechanisms of the broader Evia Island area. Note that on the northern part of the island mainly strike slip mechanisms prevail. Right: Close-up of the Psachna area of study.

In the eastern part of the Evia Island (Fig. 1) strike-slip focal mechanisms are dominant due to the branches of the right-lateral north Anatolian fault that extend to the northern Aegean area. In the western part the focal mechanisms gradually change to normal due to the N-S extension of central Greece. Evia Island lies in the crossroads between the two types of faulting systems. The only available focal mechanisms on the Evia Island are concentrated in its northern part and show a diffuse picture where strike slip faults are mixed with normal faults that have significant strike-slip component (Hatzfeld et al., 1999). The lack of information about the Psachna area along with the important role of Evia Island as the transition zone from strike slip to normal faulting makes the study of the earthquake swarm of June 2003 significant.

The aim of the present study is to relocate the earthquakes of the earthquake swarm in order to enhance the picture of the seismicity distribution, to specify the dimensions of the activated area and also to define the focal mechanisms of the strongest events.

## 2 DATA COLLECTION AND METHODS USED

We used data from the stations of the national broad-band seismographic network, operated by the National Observatory of Athens, for the time period from June 13, 2003 until August 30, 2003. In total we collected data for more than 100 earthquakes of magnitudes that varied from  $M_w$  3.0 - 4.7. We used HYPO71 (Lee and Lahr, 1975) location software for the initial location and HYPODD (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000) software for our relocation purposes. Furthermore for the largest of these events we used the whole waveform inversion, following the method of Dreger and Helm-

berger (1990, 1991, 1993) and Dreger (2002) to calculate accurate focal mechanisms. For the smallest of the events we used PPFIT (Reasenber and Openheimer, 1985) software that incorporates the polarity of the first-motion of the P-waves to calculate focal mechanisms.

## 2.1 Initial locations and relocation of the events

We manually picked P- and S- phases on all of the seismograms collected from the studied swarm sequence. In total more than 2,000 phase pick selections were made for 100 earthquakes. In figure 2A the epicenters of the earthquakes after the HYPO71 run are shown. The average RMS residual was approximately 0.78 sec and the errors were 3.1 Km in the horizontal and 4 Km in the vertical direction. A general ENE-WSW trend of the epicenters is visible.




Figure 2. A) Epicenters of the earthquakes of the swarm using HYPO71 location software. B) Relocated epicenters using the double-difference (HypoDD) algorithm greatly confined the activated area.

In order to achieve a more enhanced picture of the spatial distribution of the earthquakes and have a better view to the dimensions of the activated area we relocated the swarm earthquakes using the HypoDD relocation algorithm that is based on the double-difference (DD) technique described in Waldhauser and Ellsworth (2000) and Waldhauser (2001). The method takes advantage of the fact that if the hypocentral separation between two earthquakes is small compared to the event-station distance and the scale of velocity heterogeneity, then the ray paths can be considered identical along their entire length. Under these assumptions, the differences in the travel times can be attributed to differences in their hypocentral spatial separation. In this way, errors due to inaccurately velocity models are minimized without use of station corrections. Thus, it is appropriate for areas like the Aegean Sea that are characterized by structure complexity. The final data set consisted of 1,971 P- and S- wave arrival times corresponding to 100 earthquakes. The selected phase-pairs were subsequently used to estimate P- and S- wave differential travel-times relative to the previously calculated origin times of the earthquakes.

## 2.2 HypoDD application and results


Prior to HypoDD run data are prepared in such a way so catalogs of differential travel times are created for both P- and S- phases and parameters of the algorithm are set so the connectivity between the events is achieved. We estimated travel-time differences for all event pairs with a separation distance less than 10 Km. To ensure the connectivity between the events the primary dataset was sub-sampled each event to be connected with at least 10 neighboring events. Those were considered “strong links” (include at least 8 phase pairs). From the initial dataset of 100 earthquakes, only 78 of them fulfilled the aforementioned criteria. These events were connected through a network of 3,514 P- phase pairs and 2,810 S- phase pairs. The average links per pair is 11 and the average offset between “strongly” linked events is 3.3 Km.

The velocity model used was the 1D velocity model of Panagiotopoulos et al. (1985) and for  $v_p/v_s$  ratio we used a value of 1.78 (Kiritzi et al., 1987). Data have been weighted and re-weighted

during the inversion procedure. During the first iterations the weights for the P- and S- waves were 1.0 and 0.5. After the first five iterations we applied a weighting curve that assigns larger weights for small inter-events distances and smaller weights for large inter-event distances.

The singular value decomposition algorithm (SVD) was selected for the relocation purposes because it could provide us with reliable estimates of the uncertainty of the hypocentral parameters. In total 71 earthquakes were relocated. The average uncertainty of the relocated catalog is 0.49 Km in the E-W direction, 0.29 Km in the N-S direction and 0.61 Km in the vertical direction. The RMS residual decreased from 0.78 sec of the initial catalog to 0.33 sec in the relocated one. Similar improvement in the hypocentral parameters has been observed in the work of Roumelioti et al. (2003b) for the relocation of the aftershock sequence of Skyros earthquake of July 26, 2001 using HypoDD algorithm.

The relocated epicenters are shown in figure 2B and the location parameters are listed in Table 1. Figure 3 shows two cross-sections, one parallel (ENE-WSW) and one perpendicular (NNW – SSE) to the main trend of the earthquake cluster. Earthquakes are concentrated in the upper 8 Km of the crust and the main cluster is confined in an area 6 × 6 Km. The events were located between the towns of Psachna and Politika and show a general ENE-WSW trend, parallel to the topographic relief at the area. The vertical cross-section to the general trend of the cluster (lower part of figure 4) shows a slight dip to the south. Concerning the existence of a graben in the area it is possible that the faults activated are connected to those that bound the basin from the north.



**Figure 3.** At the upper part of the figure the spatial distribution of the earthquakes of the swarm is shown for an ENE-WSW cross section both for the initial and the relocated data. At the lower part of the figure the spatial distribution of the earthquakes of the swarm is shown for a SSE-NNW cross section both for the initial and the relocated data. The center of the cross-sections is located at latitude 38.58 and longitude 23.56 and is denoted above the plots.

### 3 FOCAL MECHANISMS

We determined the focal mechanisms of 14 earthquakes of the swarm (with magnitude  $3.5 < M_w < 4.9$ ) using the regional waveform modeling technique (Dreger and Helmberger 1990, 1991, 1993). For smaller magnitude events we used the FPFIT software (Reasenber and Openheimer, 1985) and determined the focal mechanisms for 39 more events with magnitudes  $M_w < 3.5$ .

#### 3.1 Regional waveform modeling method

We calculated focal mechanisms of the earthquakes of the swarm sequence using regional broad-band seismograms. The method is based on the work of Dreger and Helmberger (1990, 1991, 1993) and is capable of revealing the seismic moment tensor of earthquakes of magnitudes as low as  $M_w$  3.5 (Pasyanos et al., 1996). Green's functions were calculated using the frequency-wavenumber integration code (FKRPROG) developed by Saikia (1994).

In brief, the general representation of the seismic source is simplified by considering a point source both in space and time:

$$U_n(x, t) = M_{ij} \cdot G_{ni,j}(x, z, t) \quad (1)$$

where  $U_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  observed component of displacement,  $G_{ni,j}$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  component Green's function for specific force-couple orientations,  $x$  corresponds to the source-station distance,  $z$  is the source depth and  $M_{ij}$  is the scalar seismic moment tensor. The general force-couples for a deviatoric moment tensor may be represented by three fundamental faults, namely a vertical strike-slip, a vertical dip-slip, and a  $45^\circ$  dip-slip (Jost and Herrmann, 1989). In order to apply the method, the following assumptions are made: the crustal model is sufficiently well known to explain the low frequency part of the regionally recorded waveforms, event location can be represented by the high frequency hypocentral location and the source time history is synchronous for all moment tensor elements. Equation (1) is solved using linear least squares for a given source depth. The inversion yields the  $M_{ij}$ , which is decomposed into the scalar seismic moment, a double-couple (DC) moment tensor and a compensated linear vector dipole moment tensor (CLVD). The basic method and decomposition of the seismic moment tensor is described in Jost and Herrmann (1989). The optimum hypocentral depth is found by iteration and evaluating an objective function ( $f$ ) that depends upon the RMS of the difference of the observed waveforms (*data*) and the synthetic waveforms (*synth*), modulated by the percent double couple (*Pdc*):

$$f = \frac{RMS(data - synth)}{Pdc} \quad (2)$$

Another measure that is used is the variance reduction (*VR*):

$$VR = 1.0 - \frac{\int [data - synth]^2 dt}{\int [data]^2 dt} \quad (3)$$


A successful application of the method results in small values of the objective function ( $f$ ) and large values of variance reduction (*VR*), indicating that both the fit between the observed and synthetic waveforms and the percent of double couple are large.

#### 3.2 Regional waveform modeling application and results

Data from the stations of the National Seismographic Network of the National Observatory of Athens were used. The stations are equipped with Lennartz 20 sec seismometers (LE-3D/20s). Prior to the inversion procedure the waveforms were cut into segments of at least 5 minutes of data, they were band-pass filtered between 0.05 – 0.08 Hz and resampled to a frequency of 1 Hz.


The velocity model that was used for the calculation of the synthetic Green's functions was derived from the work of Novotny et al. (2001) and was used as input in the FKRPROG code of Saikia (1994). The aforementioned velocity model has been used in previous works in the Aegean area by

others (Benetatos et al. 2002, 2004, Roumelioti et al. 2003a) and has proven that is adequate to explain the low frequency content (0.05 – 0.08 Hz) of the waveforms. The synthetic Green's functions were used later for the calculation of the focal mechanisms. The optimum depth for each one of the studied earthquakes was found iteratively by examining the overall variance reduction and the percent double couple of the focal mechanism. We performed the inversions for depths ranging from 3 km to 10 km with an increment of 1km guided by the depths of the relocated catalogue.



**Figure 4.** a) Focal mechanisms of the largest earthquakes of the swarm, calculated using regional waveform modeling. b) Distribution of T-axes in the study area.


In total 14 focal mechanisms were calculated (Fig. 4a) the parameters of which are listed in Table 2. The focal mechanisms show mainly normal faulting combined with strike-slip motions, in agreement with the N-S extension that dominates in the mainland of Greece. Concerning the distribution of the relocated events of the swarm (Fig. 4) the most probable plane to be the activated is the one dip to the south that in all mechanisms has an E-W trend. The T-axes of the focal mechanisms (Fig. 4b) clearly demonstrate the N-S extension field that is present in the studied area. Nevertheless some of them show a NE-SW extension that is also consisted with the regional stress environment and it is believed to be associated with NW-SE striking faults that bound the western coastline of Evia Island. Furthermore the solutions for two of the largest earthquakes of the swarm are shown in figure 5.




**Figure 5.** A) Focal mechanism of the earthquake of June 18, 2003 05:25:02 (No.5, in Table 2) and B) Focal mechanism of the earthquake of July 01, 2003 06:22:50 (No.11, in Table 2). For each station the vertical, tangential and radial components are shown. Observed waveforms are represented with solid lines and synthetics with dashed lines. Station name and variance reduction are listed beside (A) or below (B) each waveform. At the right part of the figure, the parameters of the focal mechanism and the moment magnitude are shown along with the percentage of double couple (DC) and compensated linear vector dipole (CLVD) percentage for each earthquake.

### 3.3 Focal mechanisms from P-wave first motions: FPFIT application and results

In order to calculate focal mechanisms for the smaller of the events ( $M_w < 3.5$ ) of the swarm sequence we used the polarity of the first-motion of the P-waves using the FPFIT software (Reasenber and Openheimer, 1985). Focal mechanisms for 39 earthquakes of magnitude ( $2.5 < M_w < 3.5$ ) were calculated and are listed in Table 3. Some examples of the FPFIT software output are shown in figure 6 and all the focal mechanisms are shown in figure 7A. Normal faulting continues to be present throughout the studied area with some events showing significant strike slip component or being pure strike slip.



**Figure 6** Focal mechanisms calculated with the FPFIT using the P-wave first motion polarities. Above each mechanism the date (yy/mm/dd) and the time of the occurrence of the corresponding earthquake is denoted. The triangles represent dilatation and the circles compression. On the smaller circles the uncertainties of the direction and dip of both stress axes are shown.



**Figure 7 A)** Focal mechanisms of the smaller events of the swarm calculated using the polarity of the P-wave first motion. **B)** T-axes of the focal mechanisms calculated with first-motion polarity of the P-waves

The focal mechanisms of the small events of the swarm indicate the activation of secondary faulting as well. Even though the main direction of T- axes is still ~N-S, there is significant evidence for E-W extension as well along N-S trending fault structures (Fig. 7B).

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

The earthquake swarm that occurred near the town of Psachna in Evia Island in mid- June 2003 until the end of August 2003 is investigated. We used the digital broad band records of the National Seismographic Network, to relocate the events. A significant improvement was achieved after the HypoDD relocation process compared to the initial Hypo71 locations. The dimension of the activated area is almost  $6 \times 6$  Km and the earthquakes hypocentral locations pronounce a southward dip. We determined 53 focal mechanisms in total, 14 of which were obtained using regional moment tensor deconvolution and 39 using the P-wave first-motion polarities and the FPFIT code. The focal mechanisms indicate mainly normal faulting along E-W trending planes and in most of the cases this normal faulting is combined with a considerable strike-slip component. The mean focal mechanism from all 53 mechanisms has the parameters: NP1: strike  $91^\circ$ , dip  $53^\circ$ , rake  $-102^\circ$ ; NP2: strike  $290^\circ$ , dip  $39^\circ$ , rake  $-75^\circ$ ; P axis: azimuth  $316^\circ$ , plunge  $78^\circ$ , T axis: azimuth  $189^\circ$ , plunge  $7^\circ$ .

The focal mechanisms of the smaller events indicate that other secondary structures are also active. Our results are in agreement with previous knowledge and with Gountromichou and Pohl (2003) who performed a lineament analysis of Landsat ETM+ data in order to identify active faults in central Evia Island combining also neotectonic data. Two predominant fault directions have been identified, one ENE-WSW and a second NW-SE. The final neotectonic map of the area shows that the active faults or the possible active faults follow the topographic relief and the western coastlines of Evia Island. This observation agrees with our fault plane solutions inferred from the first motion polarities of the P-waves (small events) for which focal mechanisms with similar parameters are clustered near topography lineaments of the same orientations. Central Evia Island is interesting area from the seismotectonic point of view. Most of the identifiable strike-slip zones in the north and central Aegean terminate close to the major graben systems of the Greek mainland. At the eastern coastline of the Island the branches of the North Anatolian Fault Zone are terminating having a NE-SW direction and at the western part large basins have been created with an almost E-W extend (Taymaz, 1991, Goldsworthy et al., 2002). The island seems to be a transition zone between these two different seismotectonic environments. Complicated deformation occurs at the junction of the strike-slip and normal fault systems because of the dramatic stress change in slip vector azimuth from roughly NE-SW to roughly N-S (Kiratzi, 2002). Even though the northern parts of Evia show mainly pure strike-slip faulting at least the part of central Evia Island, that we sampled with the Psachna swarm, is dominated mostly by normal faults and/or normal faults with significant strike-slip component of variable strike orientations. These faults follow main tectonic lines that seem to be active under the present N-S extensional tectonic regime. The gulf of Evia from neotectonic and GPS observations is considered as a rigid block that is rotated clockwise mainly due to the forces transmitted from the right lateral strike slip faulting of northern Aegean Sea (Goldsworthy et al. 2002 and references therein).

## REFERENCES

- Benetatos C., Roumelioti Z., Kiratzi A. & Melis N., 2002. Source parameters of the M 6.5 Skyros Island (North Aegean Sea) earthquake of July 26, 2001, *Annals of Geophysics* 45, N. 3/4, 513-526.
- Benetatos C. Kiratzi, A., Roumelioti Z., Stavrakakis G., Drakatos, G. & Latoussakis I, 2004. The 14 August 2003 Lefkada Island (Greece) earthquake: focal mechanisms of the mainshock and of the aftershock sequence. Submitted to *Journal of Seismology*.
- Dreger, D., 2002. Manual of the Time-Domain Moment Tensor Inverse Code (TDMT\_INVNC), Release 1.1, Berkeley Seismological Laboratory, 18p.
- Dreger, D. & Helmberger D., 1990. Broadband modeling of local earthquakes, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 80, 1162-1179.
- Dreger, D. & Helmberger D., 1991. Complex faulting deduced from broadband modelling of the 28 February 1990 Upland earthquake (ML=5.2), *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 81, 1129 – 1144.
- Dreger, D. & Helmberger D., 1993. Determination of source parameters at regional distances with single station or sparse network data, *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 8107-8125.
- Goldsworthy M., Jackson J., and Haines J., 2002. The continuity of active fault systems in Greece. *Geophys. J. Int.* 148, 596-618.
- Gountromichou C. and Pohl C. 2003. Remote sensing for environmental applications and Geology II. *Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 4886.* 133-144.
- Hatzfeld, D., Ziazia, M., Kemenetzidou, D., Hatzidimitriou, P., Panagiotopoulos, D., Makropoulos, K., Papadimitriou, P. & Deschamps, A., 1999. Microseismicity and focal mechanisms at the western termination of the North Anatolian Fault and their implications for continental tectonics. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 137, 891-908.
- Jost, M. and Herrmann R., 1989. A student's guide to and review of moment tensors, *Seism. Res. Let.* 60, 37 – 57.
- Kiratzi A., 2002. Stress tensor inversions along the westernmost North Anatolian Fault Zone and its continuation into the North Aegean Sea. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151, 360-376.
- Kiratzi A., Papadimitriou E. & Papazachos B., 1987. A microearthquake survey in the Steno dam site in northwestern Greece. *Ann. Geophys.* 592, 161-166.
- Lee, W. H. K. and J. C. Lahr, 1975. A computer program for the determining hypocenter, magnitude and first motion pattern of local earthquakes. *U. S. Geol. Surv. Open File Report*, 75-311.
- Mogi, K., 1963. Some discussions on aftershocks, foreshocks, and earthquake swarms: the fracture of a semi-infinite body caused by an inner stress origin and its relation to the earthquake phenomena, 3. *Bulletin Earthquake Research Institute, Tokyo University* 41, pp. 615–658.
- Novolny, O., Zahradnik, J. and Tselentis, G.-A., 2001. North-western Turkey earthquakes and the crustal structure inferred from surface waves observed in Western Greece, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 91, 875 – 879.
- Panagiotopoulos, D. G., P. M. Hatzidimitriou, G. F. Karakaisis, E. E. Papadimitriou and B. C. Papazachos (1985). Travel time residuals in southeastern Europe, *Pageoph* 123, 221-231.



- Paige C. & Sanders M., 1982. LSQR: sparse linear equations and least squares problems. ACM Trans. Mathematical software 8 (2), 195-209.
- Papadopoulos G.A., Ganas, A., Karastathis, V., Melis, N., Sokos, E., Orfanogiannaki, K., Plessa, A., Ziazia, M., G. Diakogianni (2003). EARTHQUAKE CLUSTER IN PSACHNA, EVIA ISL., CENTRAL GREECE. Proc of the 1<sup>st</sup> Int. Workshop on Earthquake Prediction, 6-7.11.2003, Athens, 3 p.
- Papazachos, B. & C. Papazachou, 2003. The earthquakes of Greece, 3rd edition, Ziti Public., Thessaloniki, 286p.
- Pasyanos, M., Dreger D., & Romanowicz B., 1996. Towards real-time determination of regional moment tensors, Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 86, 1255-1269.
- Reasenberg P. & Openheimer D., 1985. FPFIT.U.S. Geological Survey 345 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, California.
- Roumelioti, Z., Kiratzi A. & N. Melis, 2003a. Relocation of the July 26, 2001 Skyros island (Greece) earthquake sequence using the double-difference technique, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interior, Vol. 138, 231 - 239.
- Roumelioti Z., Benetatos Ch., Kiratzi A., Stavrakakis G. & Melis N., 2003b. Preliminary study of the 2 December 2002 (M 5.5) Vartholomio (Western Peloponnese, Greece) earthquake sequence. Submitted to Tectonophysics.
- Saikia, C., 1994. Modified frequency-wavenumber algorithm for regional seismograms using Filon's quadrature; modelling of Lg waves in eastern North America, Geophys. J. Int. 118, 142 – 158.
- Taymaz, T., Jackson, J. & McKenzie, D., 1991. Active tectonics of the north and central Aegean Sea. Geophys. J. Int., 106, 433-490.
- Waldhauser F., 2001. HypoDD - A program to compute double-difference hypocenter locations, U.S. Geological Survey open-file report, 113pp.
- Waldhauser F. & Ellsworth W., 2000. A Double-Difference Location Algorithm: Method and Application to the Northern Hayward Fault, California. Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., 90, 6, 1353-1368.

**APPENDIX – LIST OF TABLES**

**Table 1** HypoDD relocation parameters of the June-July 2003 earthquakes in central Evia Island. Magnitudes listed with an asterisk have been determined from moment tensor analysis.

YR	M	Day	hh:mm:ss	Lat. °N	Lon. °E	h(Km)	ER <sub>EW</sub> (m)	ER <sub>NS</sub> (m)	ER <sub>Z</sub> (m)	RMS	M <sub>w</sub>
2003	6	13	16:06:34.6	38.58	23.59	3.5	413	255	538	0.64	4.3*
2003	6	13	16:30:29.0	38.58	23.58	5.1	479	289	599	0.58	4.3*
2003	6	13	20:22:02.4	38.57	23.55	3.5	664	387	833	0.47	4.4*
2003	6	13	22:19:56.6	38.58	23.58	2.3	497	287	691	0.40	3.4
2003	6	13	22:21:12.7	38.58	23.57	5.8	668	307	660	0.28	3.6
2003	6	14	01:49:06.7	38.58	23.57	3.9	426	261	533	0.47	3.3
2003	6	14	21:14:21.6	38.56	23.55	4.0	400	257	506	0.39	3.6
2003	6	15	10:40:43.2	38.58	23.60	2.7	493	299	592	0.42	3.2
2003	6	15	23:16:24.9	38.60	23.43	5.5	460	349	674	0.39	4.2
2003	6	16	15:18:58.0	38.58	23.57	3.8	391	220	464	0.33	4.0*
2003	6	16	22:05:25.9	38.58	23.56	5.5	394	211	453	0.50	3.3
2003	6	17	18:09:47.4	38.58	23.57	4.0	356	218	458	0.38	3.3
2003	6	17	21:23:43.6	38.56	23.47	5.6	679	405	821	0.16	3.8
2003	6	18	05:25:02.5	38.60	23.60	5.6	475	274	562	0.58	4.7*
2003	6	18	05:44:33.4	38.59	23.61	5.0	319	185	430	0.48	3.5
2003	6	18	07:50:41.7	38.59	23.59	3.8	384	213	464	0.34	4.3
2003	6	18	09:28:13.0	38.59	23.60	7.2	314	216	465	0.43	3.3
2003	6	18	09:37:02.1	38.61	23.61	5.1	377	212	470	0.20	3.2
2003	6	18	12:17:17.0	38.58	23.62	7.6	534	296	660	0.25	3.6
2003	6	18	14:31:09.9	38.59	23.59	8.4	456	239	497	0.32	3.3
2003	6	18	16:13:23.8	38.59	23.59	5.6	650	346	701	0.56	3.2
2003	6	18	20:55:24.7	38.60	23.57	5.9	573	250	484	0.64	3.4
2003	6	19	00:29:59.4	38.59	23.61	3.9	387	207	421	0.50	3.1
2003	6	19	00:50:06.9	38.58	23.60	4.0	344	200	396	0.68	3.5
2003	6	19	01:00:04.4	38.57	23.56	2.8	375	223	484	0.64	4.0*
2003	6	19	01:14:53.2	38.57	23.56	4.6	290	180	365	0.55	3.5
2003	6	19	01:29:48.9	38.58	23.57	0.8	295	204	401	0.19	3.6
2003	6	19	01:47:19.1	38.58	23.56	4.2	314	207	409	0.47	3.4
2003	6	19	02:04:49.7	38.56	23.53	6.9	354	200	487	0.35	3.3
2003	6	19	03:36:41.8	38.58	23.56	3.2	376	206	444	0.58	4.0
2003	6	19	03:38:22.1	38.57	23.58	5.5	468	308	674	0.67	4.5*
2003	6	19	05:59:45.1	38.59	23.56	3.6	300	192	428	0.45	3.6
2003	6	19	07:01:25.9	38.60	23.61	3.7	326	224	486	0.28	3.4
2003	6	19	07:10:26.0	38.60	23.61	5.5	593	351	771	0.37	3.4
2003	6	19	19:47:01.8	38.58	23.52	3.5	636	369	822	0.35	3.2
2003	6	19	20:08:54.0	38.58	23.61	5.6	383	218	441	0.63	3.7
2003	6	19	21:05:30.2	38.59	23.53	4.2	566	381	720	0.74	3.4
2003	6	20	03:00:24.6	38.56	23.59	1.5	361	202	415	0.19	3.7
2003	6	20	09:32:15.2	38.59	23.64	3.5	587	386	818	0.36	3.7
2003	6	20	21:07:55.5	38.60	23.55	2.5	639	387	799	0.40	3.3
2003	6	21	03:29:19.7	38.58	23.59	4.1	601	334	761	0.56	3.6*
2003	6	22	06:18:37.0	38.58	23.57	6.7	535	334	668	0.34	3.2
2003	6	23	13:25:39.0	38.60	23.57	3.3	505	286	638	0.36	3.5
2003	6	24	17:32:35.5	38.58	23.61	1.0	354	213	449	0.09	3.5
2003	6	26	19:13:55.6	38.56	23.55	5.8	709	438	947	0.31	3.5
2003	6	27	03:32:57.3	38.59	23.61	7.0	712	461	951	0.61	3.9
2003	6	27	08:29:37.5	38.59	23.60	6.5	411	262	558	0.55	4.2
2003	6	28	11:19:03.0	38.59	23.55	5.8	436	315	565	0.47	3.6
2003	6	29	12:16:54.1	38.59	23.63	7.8	451	287	556	0.34	3.9
2003	6	29	13:57:08.8	38.56	23.53	10.0	391	232	435	0.26	3.2
2003	6	29	19:04:35.4	38.59	23.55	3.3	929	548	1201	0.38	3.0
2003	6	30	12:37:12.8	38.59	23.55	6.0	646	359	795	0.33	3.6
2003	7	1	06:22:50.1	38.58	23.54	4.8	654	390	825	0.63	4.3*
2003	7	2	03:08:14.0	38.58	23.59	5.8	626	353	785	0.51	3.5
2003	7	3	04:47:38.9	38.57	23.54	3.5	473	299	608	0.68	3.5
2003	7	3	09:00:55.7	38.57	23.53	0.7	459	278	586	0.32	3.5
2003	7	3	11:32:05.8	38.57	23.47	6.0	408	265	551	0.16	3.5
2003	7	3	16:50:49.1	38.57	23.53	2.4	355	249	496	0.44	3.5
2003	7	4	03:24:36.7	38.58	23.55	4.9	443	275	597	0.55	4.0*

2003	7	4	05:43:20.2	38.57	23.54	5.1	435	276	606	0.66	3.0
2003	7	4	07:17:11.7	38.59	23.54	4.5	492	334	709	0.51	3.4
2003	7	4	07:18:48.2	38.58	23.55	2.4	516	311	667	0.44	3.6
2003	7	4	07:18:50.7	38.58	23.60	2.6	698	374	832	0.25	n/a
2003	7	5	13:00:14.0	38.58	23.55	5.4	537	316	680	0.60	3.6*
2003	7	8	20:35:54.7	38.57	23.60	7.5	732	442	907	0.34	3.7
2003	7	16	04:45:38.4	38.59	23.60	3.3	733	479	994	0.23	3.1
2003	7	16	12:10:30.1	38.59	23.59	4.6	543	324	685	0.32	3.5
2003	7	16	15:40:28.6	38.60	23.61	2.4	431	270	576	0.32	3.8
2003	7	30	03:20:29.1	38.61	23.43	4.7	590	366	782	0.33	3.6
2003	7	30	03:32:08.6	38.61	23.42	6.7	553	336	750	0.16	4.4
2003	7	30	08:57:07.4	38.61	23.42	6.8	696	430	931	0.44	4.0

**Table 2**  
Focal mechanisms determined using regional waveform modeling

No	Year	Month	Day	Time	Lat.°N	Long.°E	h(km)	M <sub>w</sub>	Strike	Dip	Rake	P axis Az pl		T axis Az Pl	
1	2003	6	13	16:06:34	38.577	23.591	4	4.3	90	60	-117	313	64	199	11
2	2003	6	13	16:30:29	38.575	23.578	5	4.3	97	51	-96	335	83	191	6
3	2003	6	13	20:22:02	38.571	23.554	8	4.4	135	44	-120	323	69	66	5
4	2003	6	16	15:18:57	38.579	23.573	5	4.0	84	64	-106	324	67	186	18
5	2003	6	18	05:25:02	38.598	23.603	5	4.7	51	43	-138	246	57	354	11
6	2003	6	19	01:00:04	38.571	23.556	5	4.0	99	57	-85	25	77	185	12
7	2003	6	19	01:03:56	38.566	23.576	5	4.3	92	52	-86	22	82	179	7
8	2003	6	19	03:38:22	38.566	23.576	4	4.5	89	51	-100	311	80	186	6
9	2003	6	21	03:29:19	38.575	23.590	4	3.6	125	66	-77	59	66	205	20
10	2003	6	26	13:45:58	38.573	23.743	4	4.4	78	54	-111	294	72	183	7
11	2003	7	1	06:22:50	38.582	23.540	5	4.3	80	69	-103	328	64	180	23
12	2003	7	4	03:24:36	38.579	23.546	5	4.0	71	66	-110	308	63	176	19
13	2003	7	5	13:00:13	38.580	23.553	4	3.6	132	46	-65	119	72	25	2
14	2004	1	16	17:10:25	38.575	23.555	4	4.0	77	71	-111	318	59	183	23

**Table 3**  
Focal mechanisms determined by first motion polarities using FPFIT

Year	Month	Day	Time	Lat.°N	Long.°E	M <sub>w</sub>	Strike	Dip	Rake	P axis Az Pl		T axis Az Pl		N obs
2003	6	13	22:19:57	38.579	23.577	3.4	64	72	-70	2	58	138	24	5
2003	6	13	22:21:13	38.578	23.571	3.6	68	74	-70	4	56	142	27	5
2003	6	14	01:49:07	38.578	23.574	3.3	128	40	-98	268	83	43	5	4
2003	6	14	21:14:22	38.564	23.555	3.6	112	70	-64	56	57	183	21	4
2003	6	15	23:16:25	38.603	23.434	4.2	142	42	-56	137	67	29	7	7
2003	6	16	00:38:58	38.618	23.447	3.6	74	40	-110	241	76	358	7	4
2003	6	16	22:05:26	38.579	23.563	3.3	66	40	-118	243	71	356	8	4
2003	6	17	18:09:47	38.576	23.568	3.3	96	40	-60	98	69	345	8	4
2003	6	17	21:23:44	38.558	23.469	3.8	52	54	-130	261	58	169	1	7
2003	6	17	21:24:49	38.551	23.497	3.9	102	52	-98	333	81	198	7	4
2003	6	18	01:53:47	38.819	23.486	3.3	104	30	-158	295	48	63	29	4
2003	6	18	05:44:33	38.590	23.606	3.5	112	80	-58	54	46	177	28	5
2003	6	18	07:50:42	38.587	23.592	4.3	112	80	-58	54	46	177	28	5
2003	6	18	09:28:13	38.586	23.598	3.3	118	80	-42	69	36	174	20	4
2003	6	18	12:17:17	38.583	23.616	3.6	44	80	-40	355	35	99	19	4
2003	6	18	16:13:24	38.587	23.587	3.2	128	74	-40	82	39	184	14	5
2003	6	18	20:55:25	38.597	23.575	3.4	160	30	-90	250	75	70	15	4
2003	6	19	00:50:07	38.584	23.596	3.5	142	42	-78	157	81	44	4	4
2003	6	19	01:14:53	38.573	23.558	3.5	20	58	-132	234	55	139	4	8
2003	6	19	01:29:49	38.581	23.567	3.6	40	50	-160	250	40	354	16	7
2003	6	19	01:47:19	38.58	23.556	3.4	132	38	-40	124	56	7	16	7
2003	6	19	19:47:02	38.578	23.524	3.2	142	52	-40	115	52	19	5	4
2003	6	19	20:08:54	38.583	23.610	3.7	58	70	-150	280	35	186	5	7
2003	6	19	21:05:30	38.591	23.533	3.4	142	70	-42	98	43	200	12	5
2003	6	20	03:00:25	38.563	23.589	3.7	142	42	-78	157	81	44	4	7
2003	6	27	03:32:57	38.591	23.614	3.9	142	26	-40	152	56	15	26	6
2003	6	29	12:16:54	38.593	23.628	3.9	52	40	-40	41	56	288	15	4
2003	6	30	12:37:13	38.589	23.550	3.6	48	78	-158	272	24	179	6	5
2003	7	1	05:33:06	38.581	23.503	3.6	144	56	-58	110	63	212	6	4
2003	7	2	03:08:14	38.577	23.595	3.5	130	44	-128	321	64	66	7	7
2003	7	3	09:00:56	38.572	23.534	3.5	120	30	-160	313	47	80	29	4
2003	7	3	16:50:49	38.568	23.528	3.5	144	52	-68	114	72	219	5	4
2003	7	4	05:43:20	38.568	23.537	3.0	126	36	-118	290	70	56	12	5
2003	7	4	07:18:48	38.584	23.554	3.6	44	60	-40	9	49	101	2	4
2003	7	8	20:35:55	38.571	23.597	3.7	42	80	-40	353	35	97	19	4
2003	7	12	03:05:12	38.406	23.737	2.7	48	80	-138	277	36	172	20	5
2003	7	30	03:20:29	38.612	23.429	3.6	58	80	-118	298	47	171	29	5
2003	7	30	03:32:09	38.611	23.423	4.4	146	80	-40	357	35	101	19	13
2003	7	30	08:57:07	38.608	23.419	4.0	52	50	-160	262	40	6	16	6